EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

ROSY GIRON DE REYES,

et al.,

Plaintiffs, : Civil Action

: No. 1:16-cv-00563-PTG-WBP

v.

WAPLES MOBILE HOME PARK : November 21, 2024

10:40 a.m. LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

et al.,

Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATRICIA TOLLIVER GILES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

Nicholas Michael DiCarlo, Esq.

ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP (DC-NA)

1800 M Street, NW

Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20036

202-778-1800

Fax: 202-822-8106

Email: Ndicarlo@zuckerman.com

Nady Leticia Peralta, Esq.

LEGAL AID JUSTICE CENTER

(Falls Church)

6066 Leesburg Pike

Suite 520

Falls Chruch, VA 22041

703-778-3450

Fax: 703-778-3454

Email: Nady@justice4all.org

APPEARANCES: (Cont.)

For the Plaintiffs: Larisa D. Zehr, Esq.

LEGAL AID JUSTICE CENTER

(Falls Church) 6066 Leesburg Pike

Suite 520

Falls Church, VA 22041

571-213-7582

Email: Larisa@justice4all.org

For the Defendants: Michael Sterling Dingman, Esq.

REED SMITH LLP

3110 Fairview Park Drive

Suite 1400

Falls Church, VA 22042

(703) 641-4200Fax: (703) 641-4340

Email: Mdingman@mcguirewoods.com

Brooks Holton Spears, Esq.

MCGUIREWOODS, LLP (McLean)

1750 Tysons Boulevard

Suite 1800

McLean, VA 22102-4215

703-712-5073

Email: Bspears@mcguirewoods.com

Scott L. Wallace, RDR, RMR, CRR Court Reporter:

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 22314-5798

Cell: 443-584-6558

Email: Scottwallace.edva@gmail.com

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

MORNING SESSION, NOVEMBER 21, 2024

2 (10:40 a.m.)

1

.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURTROOM CLERK: The Court calls Giron Reyes, et al. versus Waples Mobile Park Limited, Partnership, et al., Case
Number 1:16-cv-563.

May I have appearances, please, first for the plaintiff?

MR. DiCARLO: Good morning, Your Honor. Nick DiCarlo from

Zuckerman Spader on behalf of the plaintiff families. I'm here

at counsel table. With me are colleagues from the Legal Aid

Justice Center, Nady Peralta and Larisa Zehr.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

MR. DINGMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael Dingman, McGuire Woods, for the defendants, and with me today is Brooks Spears.

THE COURT: Good morning to both of you.

MR. DINGMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: So we're on today for our status. It's been a while. I hope you all are doing well. So I think we're going to -- one, we're going to set a date, a trial date; we're going to set a date for the motions in limine, and we'll also discuss your differing positions on the Rule 26(a)(3) disclosures, okay?

Have you all discussed potential trial dates? First of all, did we decide how long -- I can't remember now. How long is this case anticipated? How long do you think it will take?

MR. DINGMAN: My understanding, Your Honor, is we had

.3

```
given an estimate of five days, and Mr. DiCarlo can correct me if I'm misstating that.
```

I will say one thing that I wanted to bring to the Court's attention. When I went back, and I looked at all the disclosures and so forth that the plaintiffs say they intend to call, all eight of the plaintiffs, my understanding is that they will all need translators, plus at least two experts and maybe another fact witness. We have five live witnesses. We have an issue with one witness which I'll raise with the Court later on.

That's a lot of witnesses, and there's over, at the moment 300 -- right around 350 exhibits.

So, I'm not a hundred percent confident that five days is enough. I certainly don't want to push it beyond what's needed, but I just wanted to --

THE COURT: I'd rather reserve more on my docket and then hold you all and bring it down but have the days reserved. So we'll set aside seven, okay, with the goal for it to be five. Did you discuss potential dates? Your filing indicated in the first quarter, and that's pretty broad. Have you thought about it?

MR. DINGMAN: Well, obviously, we have not discussed specific dates, but I think we're in agreement, Your Honor, that there should be -- I think we said in our joint submission 60 days between the resolution of the motions and trial, so I would propose, of course, according to Your Honor's schedule, perhaps

```
1
     the week of March 17th, and we can work back from that to set
     hearing dates on the motions in limine. And to the extent that
 2
 .3
     the Court agrees with the defendants' position on brief
     submissions, the Rule 26 disclosures, a date for that as well.
 4
 5
           MR. DiCARLO: And for plaintiff --
 6
            THE COURT: I have a trial on March 18th, and it's a
 7
     criminal one, and it's -- I have two weeks reserved for that.
     April 1st.
 8
 9
           MR. DiCARLO: Your Honor, we have a witness who may not be
     able to attend on that date. But otherwise --
10
11
           MR. DINGMAN: April 1 is good for defendants, Your Honor.
12
            THE COURT: But, I mean, the witness just can't appear on
     April 1, but are they available the rest of the month or the rest
13
14
     of the April dates?
15
           MR. DiCARLO: They have -- they have other availability
16
     later in April.
17
            THE COURT: I'm just saying, like, we couldn't call them
18
     out of order? I mean, because the first day is picking a jury.
19
           MR. DiCARLO: Correct.
20
            THE COURT: You know, a big part of that is picking a jury
21
     and opening statements, and so we may not even be getting to
22
     testimony until late afternoon or day number two. So, are they
23
     unavailable that entire week?
24
           MR. DiCARLO: Would the Court be amenable to remote
     testimony in that instance? It's a nonparty witness.
25
```

```
1
            THE COURT: Is it an expert? Is it -- because I am
     hesitant with that with a jury. It's one thing if it's a bench
 2
 .3
     trial, but when it's a jury, I'm hesitant to do a remote witness.
     Are they available -- I could start it March 4th.
 4
 5
           MR. DiCARLO: March 4th is worse for plaintiffs, Your
 6
     Honor.
 7
           We can make it work.
           THE COURT: Okay. So we'll make it April 1.
 8
 9
           MR. DiCARLO: Your Honor, I'm sorry. What's the next
10
     availability after April 1?
11
            THE COURT: April 2. Well, I just set that other trial in
12
     April, and so I really am limited, okay?
13
           MR. DiCARLO: Your Honor, I did notice that January 22nd
14
     opened up from the first --
15
            THE COURT: I know, but if you all want the 60 days
16
     between the motions in limine -- I'm fine with January 22nd.
17
     You're not going to have 60 days; you're going to have -- you can
18
     put it on the motions docket, but, I mean, I have other matters,
19
     too, and so you will not have 60 days. But if that is what you
20
     want, January 22nd works for the Court.
21
           MR. DINGMAN: Your Honor, my concern with that is, I think
22
     the motions in limine and our suggestion that the Rule 26
23
     disclosures, which are now four years old, be withdrawn and
     resubmitted, is going to be important in setting up what actually
24
25
     is presented at trial, and I think that we do need, as we said in
```

1 the joint submission, some period of time for the Court to consider the motions, and there are several; for the parties to 2 .3 digest them, because I think that will impact --THE COURT: Did you all -- did you all confirm those 4 5 motions in limine? Do you remember how early on I asked -- and I 6 know you refiled them. 7 MR. DINGMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Did you refile the same ones, or did you 8 9 refile different ones? 10 MR. DINGMAN: We filed, essentially, the same ones. believe we withdrew -- if I can stand over here -- one of our 11 12 motions in limine. We've had discussions about some of the other pending motions, but we have not reached any sort of resolution 13 14 on those. 15 MR. DiCARLO: And, Your Honor, from plaintiffs' 16 perspective, we did substantially change the motions in limine 17 based on our discussions with defendants' counsel, and --18 THE COURT: I see you filed one that is 22 pages, and I 19 know that -- I think they have, like, six that are 85. I think 20 you can do some changing. 21 MR. DiCARLO: Your Honor, we filed all of ours in one 22 submission. THE COURT: That's what I said. You did one filing that 23 24 is 22 pages. I have it. I'm saying that the defense had filed, 25 I believe, six, and they totaled 85 pages. I think those can be

1 looked at.

MR. DINGMAN: We are certainly willing, Your Honor, to talk to plaintiffs about those to see if there is anything that can be resolved, but we have had a number of discussions about these issues and motions over an extended period of time, and we have not been able to come to any sort of agreement on them, but we can certainly take another attempt at that.

MR. DiCARLO: And, Your Honor, from the plaintiffs' perspective, our hard goal today is to calendar -- or to recalendar this motions hearing and to get a trial date on the calendar. We --

THE COURT: I said that at the outset, that that was my goal, too.

MR. DiCARLO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Back to these motions in limine. Like I said, you filed six. I want you to take a look at those. I don't think they're all necessary based on a cursory review of them because, at the very first status conference, I asked that you all look at those motions in limine, meet and confer on them, attempt to resolve what you could, and then refile.

And when I look here and I see six motions in limine, I don't know if that's been done, okay, six from you alone, okay? I want you to look at them.

MR. DINGMAN: We'll certainly take a look at those. One thing I would say, Your Honor, plaintiffs didn't change their

1

2

.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
motions in any significant way, and a lot of the issues that are
in their motion in limine are in our motion in limine, and so
they're addressing similar, if not the same, issues, and so --
but we'll certainly look at those and see if we can cull those
down.
      THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So our trial date is
April -- what are your positions on January 22nd?
      MR. DINGMAN: I think it's not enough time, Your Honor,
for what we have to do in this case. And one of the things,
under Rule 26 disclosures, I think if the parties can have the
benefit of decisions on the motions in limine, and then look at
the disclosures -- and I'll just give the Court an example.
There are 350 combined exhibits, and the parties have objected to
80 percent of them collectively.
      We also have designated deposition testimony from all
eight plaintiffs that have been objected to on the grounds of
relevance and prejudice, and decisions on the motion in limine,
and if the Court says this issue is relevant, it's coming in;
these topics are not, will provide a lot of guidance, I think, to
the parties on what exhibits are pertinent and probably resolve a
fair number of the objections to the deposition excerpts. And
given the holidays and so forth --
      THE COURT: I've heard enough. Thank you. I've heard
enough.
      MR. DINGMAN:
                    Okav.
```

Document 524-1

PageID# 12038

```
1
           THE COURT: I'm going to set motions for January 22nd,
 2
     okay? I'm going to keep the trial date as is.
 .3
           MR. DiCARLO: Your Honor, would you entertain a motion as
     to remote testimony if we submitted one before the motions date?
 4
 5
            THE COURT: But I just said my view on remote testimony
 6
     was, right?
 7
           MR. DiCARLO:
                         Okay.
           THE COURT: I'll hear that motion.
 8
 9
           MR. DiCARLO: Thank you.
10
            THE COURT: I'll hear that motion, okay? I'll consider
11
     that. After I rule on the motion in limine, my plan will be to
12
     rule the day of, okay? I don't plan on writing. And then, at
13
     that time, I will set a date for revised Rule 26(a)(3)
14
     disclosures. It always works to the parties' interests to be as
15
     reasonable with each other as possible and to resolve what you
16
     can before bringing it to the Court. Is there anything further?
17
           MR. DINGMAN: There's just one other issue, Your Honor,
18
     that I wanted to raise, and it deals with one of our expert
19
     witnesses, George Caruso. I was contacted by Mr. Caruso's son a
20
     few weeks ago, who told me he's been diagnosed with dementia --
21
            THE COURT: Oh, no.
22
           MR. DINGMAN: -- and is not going to be able to testify.
23
     I shared that information with plaintiffs' counsel, and we have
     suggested that Mr. Caruso is now an unavailable witness, and we
24
25
     should be able to use his deposition and expert report. We have
```

```
1
     not come to an agreement on that yet. We're still in
     discussions. But I just wanted to raise that in the event that
 2
 .3
     we're not able to resolve that. We may have to involve the
     Court.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: Well, why don't you all reach some agreement
 6
     with respect to this witness that they're saying is unavailable
 7
     in April and your expert witness? Why don't you all come up with
     a mutually agreeable plan, and that is some --
 8
 9
           MR. DINGMAN: -- we actually have talked about certain
10
     witnesses testifying virtually, so we've had some of that
     conversation already, Your Honor, and we're happy to continue
11
12
     that.
           MR. DiCARLO: We proposed exactly what you proposed, Your
13
14
     Honor.
15
            THE COURT: Okay. Well, see what you can work out. Thank
16
     you. Anything further?
17
           MR. DINGMAN: No, Your Honor.
18
           THE COURT: Okay let's call our next case.
19
            I don't think I told everybody this morning, but have
20
     a nice Thanksgiving.
21
            (Proceedings adjourned at 10:56 a.m.)
22
23
24
25
```